

Computer Centric versus Network Centric Concepts

Sergio Montenegro,
Benjamin Vogel
DLR-RY
Robert-Hooke-Str 7
23359 Bremen
Sergio.Montenegro@dlr.de,
Benjamin.volgel@dlr.de

Gunter Schoof
Vladimir Petrovic,
IHP GmbH
Im Technologiepark 25
15236 Frankfurt (Oder)
schoof@ihp-microelectronics.com
petrovic@ihp-microelectronic.com

Abstract

Current space craft data handling systems are primary computer-oriented building computer-centric systems. In this model the central computer has to provide high computing power, large memory, high dependability, fault tolerance management, and too many input/output connections. This makes the central computer development, very difficult.

In our approach we aim to build a network centric system, where the central element is not a computer but a powerful space craft area network (SCAN). The network is built using dependable intelligent switches. These switches will be designed and manufactured as ASICs using the IHP technology.

By the definition of an (four our systems) "Standard" spacecraft area network (SCAN) we target three of the greatest problems we face with the avionics development of every new spacecraft are:

1. The huge cost and long development time due just to the interfaces specification: Our strategy is what we call network centric computing. We aim to create a spacecraft area network (SCAN) to which all devices and computers are attached. We aim to create a high speed interconnection link definition, which is so simple that it can be implemented and used by each and every one. We have already reference implementations which may be distributed as open source for both: FPGA IP cores and software Middleware.
2. The extremely high costs and almost no post-development reuse of the board computer. The reason for such arm reuse possibilities, is that normally IO devices are attached directly to the computer and each mission have different IO devices. In our concept, the board computer will not have any devices attached to it (it performs just computing and storage functions) therefore the same computer may be used in many different missions which have different device configurations and different IO Devices. All devices are truly network devices and are attached only to the network.
3. ITAR restrictions and low performance of European space-qualified hardware: We create dependability using non dependable COTS components attached to our dependable network. Such COTS components have no ITAR restrictions and an order-of-magnitude higher performance than space-qualified ones. The only radiation-qualified and dependable component is the network and it is built in Europe.

Besides solving the main problems, we get a very high performance low cost core-avionics system. Its distributed character allows distributed development. It has a very high adaptability and flexibility. Tasks may be migrated from one computing node to another in order to

balance the load and to compensate failures.

We use the same communication protocol for software applications, for the network and for the devices. All communications in the system are based on the publisher/subscriber protocol (in software and in hardware). Publishers make messages public under a given topic. Subscribers (zero, one or more) to a given topic get all messages which are published under this topic. To establish a transfer path, both the publisher and the subscriber must share the same topic. A topic is represented by a topic ID and a data type.

The services are distributed in the (Software/Hardware) network from producers (publishers) to consumers (subscribers). This does not depend on if the services are produced by software components or by hardware components. The same applies to the consumer of services.

The network is based on a publisher/subscriber protocol which is implemented our open source RODOS operating system, as a software middleware for the software tasks and in a FPGA as a middleware switch for hardware devices and to interconnect computing nodes. An ASIC implementation of the network is in work.

The central component of our network centric concept is the network which is the heart of the system. If it fails, the system fails. Therefore to create a dependable network we use redundant robust components, with ultra fast recovery time. The building block of the network is the middleware switch, which logical view is way very similar to a software middleware.

The middleware switch is being implemented as a radiation hard ASIC from IHP and at the same time OFFIS crates a system-C model of its functionality to be able to validate our concepts by means of high level simulation, before the chip is created. The high level simulation is used primary to validate algorithms and to estimate routing performance. Beside this, the model is used to simulate anomalies, overloading, messages corruptions etc. in order to validate our fault tolerance concepts.

The Middleware Switch, through hierarchical view has three main modular groups: communication ports, communication links and a central processing unit (LEON-2 CPU). Communication ports are connected via the switch matrix or DMA channels. The DMA channels are configured to transfer the data between ports and main memory. The switch matrix may be used to forward high speed messages directly between ports using a multi cast protocol. The internal CPU may communicate with every port, to the switch matrix and to DMA controller to monitor and control their configuration, the data traffic and flow control.

The Middleware ASIC will be developed in SGB25V - 0.25µm IHP BiCMOS technology. Several radiation tests have shown the radiation immunity of this technology. As a consequence, the IHP is going now the next step to get a ESA qualified process for space products which should be reached in 2010. To increase the radiation immunity even more, IHP is working on new design techniques for SEL (Single Event Latch-up) and SEU (Single Event Upset) tolerant designs.

Experience from former Projects

Our concepts are based on our experience from former satellites we have build and from new spacecrafts which are being developed now.

BIRD is a German small satellite. It was built to observe terrestrial fires via infrared spectroscopy and was launched in 2001. TET is similar to BIRD in design and its mission is to validate new space technologies. The launch of the TET satellite is scheduled to take place in

2010. In both cases the central element in their architecture is the board computer which has to provide computing power, storage (mass memory), input/output interfaces for many (> 20) data buses and devices. The central element has to be dependable and robust. It has to manage the redundancy and, additionally, it has to execute its real-time job. Therefore such a computer system becomes very complex, expensive and susceptible and is not reusable in different missions with different input/output devices. Even TET's similarity to BIRD required a new development of the board computer, because a few of the devices needed to be substituted.

The BIRD and TET main computers were implemented using four computers. BIRD is totally based in COTS technology, TET has both Rad-Hard and COTS. One of the 4 nodes (the so called worker node) controls the satellite, while a second node (monitor node) monitors the correct operation of the worker node. In case the worker fails, the monitor takes over the job of the worker while the crashed node performs a recovery cycle.

Two other node computers are spare components and are disconnected. In case of a permanent failure of one running computers, the spare computer pair will be used instead of the failed pair.

Both BIRD and TET are only a small step beyond state of the art. They have more redundancy than is normal because they are based on COTS (not space-qualified) components. The redundancy management is performed in software. A lot of functionality which normally is implemented in hardware, in BIRD and TET is implemented in software and takes advantage from the higher redundancy and redundancy management.

Our Network Centric Concept is based on our experiences with BIRD and TET. We saw what to improve to get a faster development, to get higher reuse, and to get higher dependability and performance at lower cost.

Technical implementation

We are currently developing, for a series of satellites, a high performance generic board computer (called SSMMU) based on FPGAs. These board computers may be used to control the satellite and at the same time to process and store the payload data, but the central element will not be the computer any more, but the network, which can interconnect many buses and devices, including computers and mass memory devices. In this architecture the computer is not a central element, therefore it may be very simple, cheaper and have lower dependability requirements.

The entire functionality of the avionic system will be obtained by plugging hardware and software components together. Each of these components shall be replaceable without having to modify the rest of the system. To guarantee dependability, the only component which shall be dependable is the network. Our technology research is concentrated on the network which will include intrinsic redundancy and will be built using European radiation-resistant components. The redundancy management will again be executed through software.

The Network Centric approach provides much higher dependability, flexibility, scalability and performance. The current implementation of the network relies on commercial high-capacity reprogrammable gate arrays (FPGAs). We are currently developing, together with a microelectronic partner IHP (Innovations for High Performance Microelectronics, <http://www.ihp-microelectronics.com>), a 32-Ports middleware Switch ASIC using their submicron radiation tolerant technology (0.25 micron). 16 of such ports are simple UARTS and 16 implement our high speed simple serial link S3P. The next generation will be based on 0,13 Micron technology and will be able to handle up to 32 full duplex data links, 16 of them

high speed links with up to 200 Mbits/second each. This means more than 6.4 Gbits/second throughout the network.

DLR has implemented the RODOS (Realtime Onboard Dependable Operating System, <http://www.dlr.de/rodos>) execution platform, which may also be used as a standalone real-time operating system. RODOS includes a middleware which is compatible with the network messages, so allowing a smooth integration and mixing of services provided by both software and hardware. RODOS is already available free as an open source project.

All communications in the system are based on the publisher/subscriber protocol. Reminder: Publishers make messages public under a given topic. Subscribers (zero, one or more) to a given topic get all messages which are published under this topic. To establish a transfer path, both the publisher and the subscriber must share the same topic. A topic is represented by a topic ID and a data type.

On the software side the middleware implements an array of topics which may be compared to hardware buses. Each time a message is published under a given topic, the middleware checks for all subscribers that wish to receive it. Each one will receive a copy of its content. To go beyond the limits of the computing node we use gateways which may read all topics and forward them to the network and vice versa.

The building block of the network is the middleware switch, which logical view is way very similar to the software middleware. The middleware switch implements (using internal software) an array of gateways connected to an array of virtual topic buses. In the hardware there is a switch matrix to interconnect port instead of an array of topics (see next chapter). The gateways distribute locally all incoming messages, whereas all other gateways select the messages which they will then forward, convert them to the corresponding protocol and send them using the associated link. It makes no difference what we find on the other side of the link, whether it is another network, a node computer, a device or a bus for devices.

Middleware Switch ASIC

The Middleware Switch, through hierarchical view has three main modular groups: communication ports, communication links and a central processing unit (CPU). Communication ports are connected via the switch matrix or DMA channels. The DMA channels are configured to transfer the data between ports and main memory. The switch matrix is controlled by the CPU – in this case Leon 2 processor – in real-time and may be used to connect high speed ports directly to each other. Furthermore one link of the switch matrix is connected to a DMA channel to allow the traffic to the memory. The CPU may communicate with every port, the switch matrix and DMA controller to monitor and control their configuration, the data traffic and flow control. The Processor reads the topic ID directly from a message and after processing in software, gives a corresponding instruction to the switch matrix. The first ASIC implementation consists of 16 high speed S3P - simple synchronous serial - ports, 16 UART ports, Leon 2 core processor and switch matrix. Further implemented functions are a debug unit, programmable timers, pulse generators and counters as well as built-in-self-test (BIST) features.

In architecture development process, we agreed to have two different port connecting systems. For S3P ports we are using a switch matrix, which basically consists of multiplexers. Transfer data are buffered in FIFO buffers, which are implemented together with ports. For UART ports we are using DMA controllers and the AHB processor bus to transfer data between ports and external memory (not shown in fig. 3). All messages which are stored in

the memory may be manipulated by the CPU before the transmission to any destination device.

The Middleware Switch uses a uniform internal protocol to allow simple switchable connections between very heterogeneous devices. Therefore all ports are using protocol converters to convert between external used protocols and the internal format. To allow different device types to be connected to the same port pin the protocol converters must be configurable. The most important configurations are related to message framing, flow control, synchronization and header information (topic ID, message length). If any new protocol format is not yet implemented in the port hardware the message must be transferred into the memory and the CPU can do the protocol conversion. Therefore the implemented concept is very flexible and even cascading several ASICs is possible.

The Middleware ASIC implementation uses integrated hardware handshaking but supports also handshaking by software. Because of different communication protocols even software handshaking must be recognized and handled by the port logic. As a result devices can send and receive flow control commands via the Middleware Switch to avoid data losses and re-transmissions. Such commands (Stop, Continue) can be transmitted anytime within or between messages. This is very useful and necessary when devices communicate with different speed to each other and the internal buffers are running out of memory space..

The presented ASIC implementation of a Middleware Switch uses Leon 2 IP core from Aeroflex Gaisler[®] (formerly Gaisler Research) as the processor unit; the DMA core from Synopsys[®] Design Ware library; and all other components (memories, FIFOs, EDAC, ports and all control logic) from IHP GmbH.

The error detection and correction (EDAC) module is used to protect the data written into memory. The EDAC algorithm is based on Hsiao coding scheme and provides one bit error correction and two bits error detection. Registers, flipflops and latches should be protected through TMR (Triple Modular Redundancy) or DMR (Double Modular Redundancy) which we will implement in a future design.

The Middleware ASIC will be developed in SGB25V - 0.25µm IHP BiCMOS technology. Several radiation tests have shown the radiation immunity of this technology. As a consequence, the IHP is going now the next step to get a ESA qualified process for space products which should be reached in 2010.

To increase the radiation immunity even more, IHP is working on new design techniques for SEL (Single Event Latch-up) and SEU (Single Event Upset) tolerant designs. The main goal is to develop radiation tolerant designs for space applications without wasting much chip area and power consumption. The improved design technique should accept SEU and SEL effects, which could not be avoided by technological means, and correct them in background without affecting the real-time behavior of the running system.

Further versions of the Middleware Switch ASIC should comprise a full fault-tolerant design. In parallel first activities will be started to get more information about radiation immunity of the IHP 130nm technology.